

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,872	04/20/2001	Yasuaki Yamagishi	450100-03167	4338	
20999 . 7	7590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			KIM, JUNG W		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/839,872	YAMAGISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jung W. Kim	2132	•	

	Jung W. Kim	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on speen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stated bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to ávoid dismissal (	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	had and and a discount of Cities and had	£				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	20.0,				
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	., .		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendmen	F (PTOL. 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendmen	(FTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		rill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to other evidence.						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-	, ,				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13.	6 Ibrat	3				
	GII RERTI	RARRON TA				

GILBERTO BARRON JA.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment to the independent claims introduce the limitation wherein said lapse information includes a method of obtaining said latest public key certificate information. This new limitation raises new issues that would require further search and consideration..

7 6/24/5